

**THE GOVERNING COUNCIL**  
**REPORT NUMBER 149 OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD**  
**April 5, 2007**

To the Governing Council,  
 University of Toronto

Your Board reports that it held a meeting on Thursday, April 5, 2007 at 4:10 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Simcoe Hall at which the following were present:

Professor Michael R. Marrus (Chair)	Dr. Christena Chruszez	Mr. Geoffrey Matus
Professor Brian Corman (Vice- Chair)	Professor John Coleman	Professor Douglas McDougall
Professor David Naylor, President	Professor David Cook	Professor John R. Miron
Dr. John R. G. Challis, Vice- President, Research and Associate Provost	Mr. Tim Corson	Professor Faye Mishna
Professor David Farrar, Deputy Provost and Vice-Provost, Students	Miss Saswati Deb	Ms Carole Moore
Professor Vivek Goel, Vice- President and Provost	Professor Miriam Diamond	Professor Mariel O'Neill-Karch
Professor S. Zaky, Vice-Provost, Planning and Budget	Professor Guy Faulkner	Professor Donna Orwin
Professor Stewart Aitchison	Dr. Shari Graham Fell	Mr. Roger P. Parkinson
Professor Derek Allen	Ms Linda B. Gardner	Professor Susan Pfeiffer
Professor Varouj Aivazian	Professor Avrum Gotlieb	Mr. Paul Ruppert
Professor David R. Begun	Ms Pamela Gravestock	Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak
Professor Katherine Berg	Professor Hugh Gunz	Professor Pekka Sinervo
Professor Ragnar Buchweitz	Professor Rick Halpern	Professor J.J. Berry Smith
Mr. Ryan Matthew Campbell	Mrs. Bonnie Horne	Miss Maureen J. Somerville
Mr. Ewen Weili Chen	Professor Brad Inwood	Professor Lorne Sossin
	Professor Charles Jones	Professor Suzanne Stevenson
	Mr. Umar Khan	Professor Kim Strong
	Professor Bruce Kidd	Dr. Robert S. Turnbull
	Dr. Joel A. Kirsh	Dr. Donald A. Wasylenki
	Professor Hon C. Kwan	Professor Catharine Whiteside
	Dr. Gillian MacKay	Dr. Cindy Woodland
	Professor Diane Massam	
	Professor Thomas Mathien	

**Regrets:**

Professor Cristina Amon	Mr. John A. Fraser	Professor Sioban Nelson
Professor Christy Anderson	Professor Jonathan Freedman	Professor Janet Paterson
Professor Jan Angus	Professor Jane Gaskell	Ms Theresa Pazonis
Professor Gage Averill	Ms Bonnie Goldberg	Professor Cheryl Regehr
Professor George Baird	Professor William Gough	Professor Robert Reisz
Professor Sylvia Bashevkin	Mr. Billeh Hamud	Professor Richard Reznick
Mr. Brian Beaton	Professor Yuki Mayumi Johnson	Professor Jolie Ringash
Professor Clare Beghtol	Professor Gregory Jump	Professor Gareth Seaward
Professor Reina Bendayan	Mr. Mohammed Khan	Professor Anthony N. Sinclair
Dr. Terry Blake	Dr. Wajahat Khan	Professor Brian Cantwell Smith
Ms Marilyn Booth	Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard	Professor Tattersall Smith
Professor Donald Brean	Dr. Lesley Ann Lavack	Professor Ron Smyth
Professor George Elliott Clarke	Professor Robert Levit	Mr. Omar Solimon
Mr. Kristofer T. Coward	Professor Lori Loeb	Professor Lisa Steele
Mr. Joe Cox	Professor Roger L. Martin	Professor Rinaldo Wayne Walcott
Professor Alister Cumming	Professor Brenda Y. McCabe	Mr. Patrick Wong
Mr. Ken Davy	Professor Mark McGowan	
Professor Luc F. De Nil	Ms Vera Melnyk	
Dr. Raisa B. Deber	Mr. Matto Mildenberger	
Professor Dickson Eyoh	Professor David Mock	
	Professor Michael Molloy	
	Professor Mayo Moran	

**Non-voting Assessors:**

Professor Edith M. Hillan, Vice-  
Provost, Academic  
Professor Cheryl Misak, Acting  
Vice-President and Principal,  
UTM  
Ms Catherine Riggall, Vice-  
President, Business Affairs  
Ms Elizabeth Sisam, Assistant  
Vice-President, Campus and  
Facilities Planning

**In Attendance:**

Ms Sheree Drummond, Assistant  
Provost

**Secretariat:**

Ms Cristina Oke, Secretary  
Ms Mae-Yu Tan

In this report, items 5 is for Executive Committee confirmation, items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 are recommended to the Governing Council for approval and the remaining items are reported for information.

**1. Approval of Report Number 148 of the Meeting held on February 15, 2007**

Report Number 148 of the meeting held on February 15, 2007 was approved.

**2. Business Arising Out of the Report**

At the February meeting, a member had asked if the proportion of student space within the University had changed significantly from twenty years ago. Professor Goel replied that, based on reporting to the Council of Ontario Universities (COU), there had been a net increase in student space at each of the three campuses: 6% at the St. George campus, 66% at the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM), and 37% at the University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC). The increases did not include the Varsity field and the Multi-faith Centre in the Koffler Institute. Professor Goel noted that the significant growth of student space at UTM and UTSC had been the result of the collaboration and engagement of students.

**3. Report Number 136 of the Agenda Committee (March 27, 2007)**

The Chair drew the attention of members to the Agenda Committee's approval of several academic administrative appointments.

**4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost****(a) Reappointment of Principal of St. Michael's College**

Professor Goel informed members that Professor Mark McGowan had been appointed by the Collegium of the University of St. Michael's College to serve as Principal of St. Michael's College for an additional three-year term, from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2011. Professor Goel noted that Professor McGowan had overseen significant achievements during his first term as Principal, including establishing the Book and Media Studies Programme and spearheading St. Michael's partnership in the Concurrent Teacher Education Programme.

Professor Goel also informed members that Professor Yves Roberge would serve as Acting Principal from July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008, while Professor McGowan was on administrative leave.

#### **4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost (cont'd)**

##### **(b) Medical Academy at the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM)**

Professor Goel advised members that the administration had decided to delay construction of the Medical Academy at the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) until issues between the two key partner hospitals (Credit Valley Hospital and the Trillium Health Centre) and the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care had been resolved. In 2007-08, the student enrolment intended for the UTM Medical Academy would be included with that on the St. George campus. Once matters between the two hospitals and the Ministry had been resolved, development of the Academy, which remained a top priority for UTM and the Faculty of Medicine, would proceed. In the meantime, UTM would proceed with other planned projects such as the Student Services Plaza.

##### **(c) Controversial Events**

Professor Goel reported that several events that some members of the University community might have found problematic had proceeded without incident. He expressed his appreciation for the thoughtful approach and planning undertaken by event organizers.

##### **(d) Budget**

###### **i. Federal**

Professor Goel reported on two key items in the federal budget released on March 19, 2007 that were particularly relevant to members of the Academic Board. One thousand new graduate scholarships had been created, and would be awarded competitively through the three granting councils. These scholarships would help the University meet the funding guarantee for graduate students.

The federal government had also initiated a new post-secondary education (PSE) transfer, with not less than \$800 million of new base support to be transferred to the provinces, beginning with the 2008 budget. The University would be undertaking significant advocacy efforts with both the federal and provincial governments to ensure that the funds flowed through to institutions and that the University of Toronto received its relative share. President Naylor added that there were a number of scenarios with respect to the allocation of the PSE transfer that could be played out, including the use of the funds by the Province of Ontario to support the cost of its Reaching Higher objectives.

President Naylor referred to the effective advocacy efforts of the post-secondary education sector. Although the University was disappointed that indirect costs of research had not been addressed in the budget, it welcomed the increase in budget for the three granting councils - the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), and the continued support (over \$500 million) for the Canadian Foundation for Innovation.

###### **ii. Provincial**

Professor Goel highlighted the investment of \$210 million in one-time-only funding that had been included in the provincial budget that had been released on March 22, 2007. The funds were intended to alleviate the immediate cost pressures faced by Ontario universities in the coming fiscal year. The University anticipated that it would receive \$40 million of this funding.

#### **4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost (cont'd)**

##### **(d) Budget**

###### **ii. Provincial (cont'd)**

Also included in the provincial budget were allocations of \$50 million to develop the University's capacity for interdisciplinary research on the creation of jurisdictional economic advantage, and \$15 million to support the University's advanced research in structural genomics.

In addition, there were some funds from 2006-07 that were available on a one-time-only (OTO) basis to support graduate expansion.

##### **(e) 2007-08 Operating Budget**

The Provost explained that the 2007-08 operating budget would be presented to the Planning and Budget Committee on April 16, 2007, and it would be considered by the Academic Board on May 2, 2007. This was the fourth year of the current budget cycle, and the first year of the new budget model. On April 30, 2007, the cumulative deficit was estimated to be \$80 million. A new five-year budget cycle was being proposed for the period 2007-08 to 2011-12, which would allow the University to reduce the cumulative deficit to the amount allowed under Governing Council policy (1.5% of gross revenue) over a five-year period, rather than in the next two fiscal years. The recommendation included in-year balanced budgets for each year of the proposed budget cycle. Cost containment measures to achieve a balanced budget had already been applied.

Professor Goel highlighted some of the changes that had occurred over the past decade. There had been significant undergraduate growth at the University. New sources of investment in post-secondary education had included the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) and the Canada Research Chairs (CRC) program. Now the focus was on graduate expansion, and resources were needed by the University to meet the graduate student funding guarantee.

Professor Goel explained that the new budget model provided increased transparency and incentives for greater discipline. The new budget model helped ensure a more fiscally prudent approach than the previous budget, and did not include assumptions of increased provincial funding where there were no commitments as yet.

President Naylor added that, while the new budget model provided increased clarity to the budget process, in itself it would not address the deficit. He expressed the commitment of the University's administration to the reduction of the deficit, but noted that rapid reduction could cause untold damage, and might injure the fabric of the institution.

##### **(f) Prizes and Awards**

Professor Goel congratulated the members of the University community who had recently won a variety of prestigious awards. He also thanked those who had supported members by preparing the award nomination documentation. Among some significant awards were the following:

**2006 Gerhard Herzberg Canada Gold Medal for Science and Engineering**  
University Professor Richard (Dick) Bond

**2007 Alan Blizzard Award for collaboration in teaching**

Professor Susan McCahan of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering and Chair of First-Year for the Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering;  
Mr. Philip Anderson, senior lecturer in Electrical and Computer Engineering;  
Professor Robert Andrews of Civil Engineering;

**4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost (cont'd)****(f) Prizes and Awards (cont'd)**

Professor Mark Kortschot of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry;  
Ms Sandy Romas, administrative assistant for the Faculty;

Mr. Peter Weiss, senior lecturer in the Engineering Communication Program; and  
Professor Kimberly Woodhouse of of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry.

**2007 Guggenheim Fellowships**

Professor Michael Goldstein of Mathematics and UTSC

Professor Jerry Mitrovica of Physics

Professor Peter Zandstra of the Institute for Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering

**3M National Teaching Fellowship**

Professor Susan McCahan

**E.W.R. Steacie Memorial Fellowships**

Professor Eckhard Meinrenken of Mathematics,

Professor Gregory Scholes of Chemistry

Professor Aephraim Steinberg of Physics

**Killam Prize in the natural sciences category**

University Professor Richard (Dick) Bond

**Killam Research Fellowship**

Professor Lynne Magnusson of English

**Sloan Research Fellowships**

Professor Ravin Balakrishnan of Computer Science

Professor Deborah Zamble of Chemistry

**President's Remarks****(a) Assistant Vice-President, Government, Institutional and Community Relations**

President Naylor advised members of the appointment of Mr. Daniel Atlin as Assistant Vice-President, Government, Institutional and Community Relations. Mr. Atlin and others working with the Vice-President, University Relations are actively engaged in government advocacy on behalf of the University.

**(b) Vice-President, Advancement**

Professor Naylor also informed members of the appointment of Mr. David Palmer as Vice-President, Advancement, for a five-year term, effective September 1, 2007. Mr. Palmer was currently President and Executive Director of the Royal Ontario Museum Board of Governors (formerly the ROM Foundation). In that role he had spearheaded the Renaissance ROM campaign.

The President acknowledged the outstanding job that had been done by Ms Rivi Frankle as Interim Vice-President and Chief Advancement Officer.

#### **4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost (cont'd)**

##### **President's Remarks (cont'd)**

###### **(c) Enrolment**

The President indicated that undergraduate enrolment was changing in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA). It was anticipated that there would be tens of thousands of new undergraduate students in the GTA in the next thirty years, given the sustained population growth in areas such as Mississauga and Halton. Neither Ryerson University nor York University had indicated an interest in substantially increasing undergraduate enrolment in the coming years. The three Universities were working with the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities to develop models for accommodating the anticipated enrolment.

##### **Discussion**

A member noted that it was his understanding that the University's Psychiatric Service had stopped accepting long-term patients in order to free up more resources for short-term patients, and he asked whether there were any plans to allocate sufficient resources to Psychiatric Service to allow both short waiting times and long term care.

Professor Goel acknowledged the importance of the question, and explained that there was an increasing demand for psychiatric services across institutions. The maximum of allowable visits (35) to Psychiatric Services was still in place, but few students required the maximum number. It was necessary to find an appropriate balance between short-term and long-term needs.

Professor Goel explained that Psychiatric Service was funded by the Health Services Fee paid by students, as well as by fee-for-service revenue from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) and the University Health Insurance Plan (UHIP). The Health Services Fee was considered by the Council on Student Services (COSS) and approved by the University Affairs Board (UAB). It was one of the many important services that was not funded by the operating budget. The University had to ensure its activities were consistent with its mission.

Professor Goel indicated that a major review of student services was currently underway, and that the administration would be working in partnership with the leadership of student governments to ensure that non-academic incidental fees were aligned with the priorities and the operating budget of the University .

The member commented that space for student services, rather than operating funding, was the main concern. Professor Goel replied that the University had to take a holistic approach to the delivery of student services. The model of providing all services from a central location may not be practical.

#### **5. Connaught Fund Terms of Reference: Revision**

The Chair informed members that this item had been considered by the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) at its March 7<sup>th</sup> meeting. He noted that Section 3.3 of the Academic Board's Terms of Reference required that changes to the Terms of Reference of the Connaught Fund be confirmed by the Executive Committee, on the recommendation of the Academic Board.

Professor Sass-Kortsak explained that the Connaught Laboratories had been established in 1914 as antitoxin laboratories and had been named after the Duke of Connaught, then Canada's Governor General. The Connaught Laboratories had become the University's instrument to develop insulin after its discovery at the University by Professors Banting and Best. The

## 5. Connaught Fund Terms of Reference: Revision (cont'd)

Laboratories had also been at the forefront of the development in Canada of penicillin and the first Salk polio vaccine. In 1972, the Connaught Laboratories had been sold, and the proceeds had formed the basis of the Connaught Fund, an endowment fund now valued at about \$100-million. The income from the Fund supported research and the application of the University's expertise to matters of public interest in all research fields.

Professor Sass-Kortsak reported that the proposed changes to the terms of reference of the Connaught Fund were relatively minor. The membership of the Connaught Committee would be changed somewhat and be less specific. The section on the investment of the Fund would also be updated to reflect the fact that the Fund was invested with other endowment funds in the University's long-term investment pool.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE CONFIRM

That the proposed revised Terms of Reference of the Connaught Fund, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 149 of Academic Board as Appendix "A", be approved, replacing the Terms of Reference approved by the Governing Council on June 25, 1992.

## 6. Policy on Research Agreements and the Recovery of Indirect Costs of Research

The Chair noted that this item had been considered by AP&P at its March 7<sup>th</sup> meeting, and required the approval of the Governing Council on the recommendation of the Academic Board.

Professor Sass-Kortsak explained that this proposal was a further product of the major review of research policies that had been led by Professor Challis, Vice-President, Research and Associate Provost. The revisions were the result of a process of extensive consultation.

Professor Sass-Kortsak summarized the three significant areas of change in the proposed *Policy*:

- the principle that the University should seek to recover the indirect costs of **all** research agreements – grants as well as contracts – was reflected;
- the distribution formula for payments for indirect costs had been removed, as the new budget model provided that all overhead revenue flowed to the academic division of the principal investigator;
- clear requirements for the review of research agreements and for their approval by the Vice-President, Research and Associate Provost had been included.

The proposal had won the full support of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs.

In response to a question raised by a member prior to the meeting, Professor Challis noted that, in 2004-05, the most recent date for which figures were available, the University had received \$30.6 million for the indirect costs of research, including \$16.3 million from the federal government and \$11.1 million from the provincial Research Infrastructure Fund. If funding for 40% of the indirect costs of research had been provided, the University would have received \$60 million.

**6. Policy on Research Agreements and the Recovery of Indirect Costs of Research (cont'd)**

On motion duly moved and seconded

**YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

THAT the proposed revised *Policy on Research Agreements and the Recovery of Indirect Costs of Research*, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 149 of Academic Board as Appendix "B", be approved, replacing the *Policy on Research Contracts and the Recovery of Indirect Costs of Research* approved by the Governing Council on January 25, 1999.

**7. School of Graduate Studies / Ontario Institute for Studies in Education / UT: Doctor of Education Program in Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development – Program Closure**

The Chair advised members that this program closure had been considered by AP&P at its March 7<sup>th</sup> meeting, and was being recommended for approval by the Governing Council. Because the Council approved new programs, he felt that it should also approve program closures.

Professor Sass-Kortsak explained that, in 2005, the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education of the University of Toronto (OISE/UT) had introduced a flexible-time option for its Ph.D. Program in Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development. Most new students had elected to apply to the Ph.D. rather than to the Doctor of Education (Ed.D.) program. The Ed.D. had previously been the only way for practitioners in this discipline to pursue a doctorate on a flexible-time basis. However, students in Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development had found that many employers preferred the Ph.D. to the Ed.D. degree.

As a result, after extensive discussion, OISE/UT had decided to suspend admission to the Ed.D. program in Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development, and it now proposed its closure, when the last student in the program had completed her/his degree. Students currently in the Ed. D. program would have the option of transferring to the flexible-time Ph.D. Ed.D. programs would continue to be offered in other disciplines at OISE/UT. The proposal had been debated and approved by the OISE/UT Council and the School of Graduate Studies' Graduate Education Council.

Professor Sass-Kortsak indicated that discussion at AP&P had focused on the general question of professional doctoral degrees in contrast to the Ph.D. Proposals had moved in the other direction in some fields, and there had been a clear wish to provide specialized professional doctorates. The Dean of the School of Graduate Studies had advised the Committee that the discussion of this question was continuing in general and in faculties of education across North America. In Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development, however, the proposal to terminate the Ed.D. program was clearly the right one for students in the program, and the proposal was supported by the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs.

On motion duly moved and seconded

**YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

1. THAT the proposal from the School of Graduate Studies and the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education of the University of Toronto to cease admission to the Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development Program, Ed.D., a copy of which is attached to Report Number 149 of the Academic Board as Appendix "C", be approved and,

**7. School of Graduate Studies / Ontario Institute for Studies in Education / UT: Doctor of Education Program in Curriculum Studies and Teacher Development – Program Closure**  
(cont'd)

2. THAT the closure of the Ed.D. program be approved when no students are registered in it. The entry for the program will be removed from the School of Graduate Studies calendar on a permanent basis, effective September 2007.

**8. Academic Initiative Fund: Allocations**

The Chair noted that these allocations had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee (P&B) at its meeting on March 6<sup>th</sup>, and they required the approval of the Governing Council on the recommendation of the Academic Board.

Professor Gotlieb informed members that thirty-two proposals had been submitted in the fourth round of the Academic Initiative Fund (AIF). More proposals had developed directly out of priorities from *Stepping UP* in this round than in past rounds.

During the discussion at the Committee, a question had been raised about the continuity of the funded projects, given that the AIF awards were made on a one-time-only (OTO) basis. Professor Goel had explained that divisions could resubmit proposals to the same source seeking funding on multiple occasions; the AIF could be used to serve as a bridge to other sources of funding; or allocations from the University Fund could be provided.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Fourth Round of the Academic Initiative Fund be allocated as per the table in Appendices 2 & 3 to the Memorandum from the Vice-President and Provost dated February 26, 2007, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 149 of the Academic Board as Appendix "D".

**9. University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) Professional Graduate Program Centre – Extra-Departmental Unit B (EDU:B): Establishment**

The Chair noted that the establishment of the Centre had been considered by P&B at its meeting on March 6<sup>th</sup> and required the approval of the Governing Council on the recommendation of the Academic Board.

Professor Gotlieb explained that the proposed Professional Graduate Program Centre (PGPC) would serve as an administrative and academic centre for campus-based cross-disciplinary professional graduate master's degree and diploma programs located at the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM). The doctoral programs were part of the tri-campus structure, but the professional master programs would preferably be housed in a campus-based graduate unit. As the number of graduate programs had increased, there had been more complexities involved with multiple departments supporting multiple programs. Under the new interdisciplinary education framework, the PGPC was proposed as an EDU:B. While the PGPC would not have the authority to make primary faculty appointments, it would be able to make graduate cross-appointments. The UTM Dean would undertake the responsibilities of a Graduate Chair for the professional graduate programs.

**9. UTM Professional Graduate Program Centre – Extra-Departmental Unit B (EDU:B)**  
(cont'd)

Professor Gotlieb noted that, during the discussion, a question had been raised about how the current five professional master programs at UTM would fit in the structure of the new Centre. Professor Krull, Vice-Dean, Graduate & Vice-Principal, Research, UTM, had explained that a proposal to transfer the Diploma in Investigative and Forensic Accounting to the PGPC from the Rotman School of Management would be submitted to governance in the future. The other programs had affiliations with home departments that would remain intact for the time being.

Professor Pfeiffer commented that the proposed centre would provide the appropriate oversight for graduate programs at UTM, consistent with the vision articulated in the *Framework for a New Structure of Academic Administration for the Three Campuses*.<sup>1</sup> Professor Misak indicated that part of the motivation for the establishment of the Centre was the sense that existing programs were not always appropriately housed. There was agreement at UTM that the establishment of the Professional Graduate Program Centre was the appropriate way to proceed.

On motion duly moved and seconded

**YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

THAT the Professional Graduate Program Centre, as described in Appendix “E” to Report Number 149 of the Academic Board, be established as an Extra-Departmental Unit B within the University of Toronto at Mississauga, effective July 1, 2007.

**10. Community Affiliation Template Agreement between the University of Toronto and 15 Community Hospitals/Centres**

The Chair noted that the community affiliation template agreement had been considered by P&B at its meeting on March 6<sup>th</sup>, and it required the approval of the Governing Council on the recommendation of the Academic Board.

Professor Gotlieb informed members that the community affiliation template agreement between the University of Toronto and the community hospitals was similar to the full affiliation template agreement that had been recommended for approval by the Board in January. The main differences were:

- Not all of the community hospitals were recognized as teaching hospitals.
- In the proposed agreement there was recognition that not all hospital medical staff had university appointments.
- Since not all clinical faculty members would have academic appointments, there would be less need for the University policy framework to be recognized in these hospitals than in the fully affiliated hospitals.

Professor Gotlieb indicated that the proposed agreement provided clarity about the teaching responsibilities and the responsibilities for patient services. He stated that no questions had been raised by the Committee.

Professor Whiteside noted that Trillium Health Centre and Credit Valley Hospital were included in the agreement. She expressed her confidence that the issues referred to earlier in the meeting concerning the Medical Academy at UTM would be resolved in the near future.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.utoronto.ca/govcncl/bac/details/ab/2001-02/abr20020606-d.pdf>

## **10. Community Affiliation Template Agreement between the University of Toronto and 15 Community Hospitals/Centres (cont'd)**

A member raised a question about Section XIII-4, Student Workplace Insurance (page 19 of the template). The Chair asked the member to send the question to him via email so that a response could be provided for the next meeting of the Board.

On motion duly moved and seconded

### **YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

1. THAT the template for community affiliation agreements between the University of Toronto and the current community hospitals/centres, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 149 of the Academic Board as Appendix "F", be approved, effective immediately;
2. THAT the President, or designate, be authorized to sign such agreements on behalf of the Governing Council, provided that the agreements conform to the approved template; and
3. THAT the agreements signed under the provisions of this resolution be filed with the Secretary of Governing Council.

## **11. Capital Project: Project Planning Report – St. George Examination Facility**

The Chair noted that this Project Planning Report had been considered by P&B at its meeting on March 6<sup>th</sup> and required the approval of the Governing Council on the recommendation of the Academic Board.

Professor Gotlieb advised members that the former warehouse building at 255/257 McCaul Street would be renovated to become the St. George Campus Examination Centre, addressing the shortage of both in-term and final examination space. The proposed dedicated examination facility would have a capacity of over 1,000 seats, with 105 specialized accessible writing facilities for students. The space would also be used for student study space when not in use for exams, and it would be rented as a general testing facility to outside users to generate revenue for the University.

Professor Gotlieb explained that the provision of such a facility would make available approximately 15,700 hours of classroom time that was currently scheduled for in-term testing and exams and would release Kruger Hall for other use by Woodsworth College.

Points raised in the discussion at the Committee had included the location of the Centre and its use by external organizations. Ms Sisam had explained that 255/257 McCaul Street was on the transit and subway lines that made it accessible for students. She also had noted that the proposed Centre would help to consolidate the examination facilities and streamline the costs of testing. It had been suggested by divisional registrars that organizations that held standardized tests such as the Law School Admission Test or the Medical College Admission Test could be possible external users of the Centre. Professor Goel had added that the accessible stations would be very appealing and some colleges in the Greater Toronto Area may also be interested in using the Centre.

A member asked who would manage the proposed facility. Ms Sisam replied that the Office of Space Management would be responsible for the management of the facility.

## 11. Capital Project: Project Planning Report – St. George Examination Facility (cont'd)

A member asked if the proposed 105 accessible seats would be sufficient for the growing number of students who required special accommodation for examinations. Ms Sisam replied that the entire facility would be accessible. The 105 seats represented an increase in the number of places currently available and these seats could accommodate the five different types of spaces that had been identified as necessary to meet the needs of students. The plans for the spaces had been reviewed by staff and students who would use the facilities. She noted that the provision of specialized accommodation in the proposed examination facility would lessen the burden on faculty and staff for the administration and invigilation of examinations for students with special needs, and would result in a significant improvement to the student experience.

A member asked whether the fourth floor of the McCaul property would be used by the University. Ms Sisam replied that the space was being considered for the administrative offices of Facilities and Services that were currently located at 215 Huron Street. The relocation of Facilities and Services would release space at 215 Huron for the Faculty of Arts and Science.

Professor O'Neill-Karch spoke in support of the proposal and expressed the gratitude of the community of Woodsworth College at the resulting release of Kruger Hall to the College.

A member asked whether new space would be provided for the student groups that were currently located at the McCaul property. Ms Sisam replied that two offices would be relocated, and alternate storage space would be found for the groups that were currently using the McCaul property for storage.

On motion duly moved and seconded

### YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the St. George Campus Central Examination Facility to be located at 255/257 McCaul Street, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 149 of the Academic Board as Appendix "G", be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope, having a space allocation of 2700 nasm at a cost of \$10.6 million in January 2007 dollars be approved with funding provided as follows:
 

a) Woodsworth College	\$.50 million
b) Facilities and Services (FRP) spread over two years	\$1.00 million
c) Office of Space Management annualized payments over 20 years	\$.75 million <sup>2</sup>
d) Borrowing, amortized over 20 years	\$8.35 million

## 12. Items for Information

### (a) Annual Report on Academic Discipline, 2004-05 and 2005-06

Members received this report for information. No questions were raised.

---

<sup>2</sup> Secretary's Note: This amount corrects a typographical error in the original motion, which read \$.075 million. This correction was approved by the Agenda Committee at its meeting of April 17, 2007.

**12. Items for Information (cont'd)**

- (b) Selection Committee for President's Teaching Award**
- (c) Selection Committee for University Professors**

Members received the membership of the two selection committees for information. No questions were raised.

- (d) Report Number 128 of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (March 7, 2007)**

Members received this Report for information. No questions were raised

- (e) Report Number 117 of the Planning and Budget Committee (March 6, 2007)**

Members received this Report for information. No questions were raised

- (e) Status Changes: Tenure and Promotion**

The status changes were included in the agenda package. No questions were raised.

**13. Date of Next Meeting – May 2, 2007****14. Other Business****(a) Academic Board Elections**

The Chair informed members that nominations had been re-opened for the second time for three seats on the Academic Board for three-year terms effective July 1, 2007:

- 1 seat in Architecture, Landscape, and Design
- 1 seat in Medicine
- 1 seat in UTSC

Nomination forms were available on the Governing Council website<sup>3</sup>, and copies were available on the table outside the Council Chamber.

**(b) Call for Co-opted Applications**

The Chair encouraged members of the administrative staff, alumni and students to apply for co-opted positions on the Boards and Committees of the Governing Council. The forms were available on the Governing Council website and could be completed and submitted on line.<sup>4</sup> The deadline for submission of applications was Monday, April 23, 2007.<sup>5</sup>

**(c) 2007- 08 Committee Preferences**

The Chair informed members that the request for 2007-08 Committee Preferences would be distributed to continuing members and members-elect of the Board in mid-April. He asked members who were interested in serving on one of the Board's Standing Committees to complete and submit the on-line form by April 23, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.utoronto.ca/govcncl/elections/2007/ABNominationForm.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.twoea.com/judicialaffairs/memberships/coopt.html>

<sup>5</sup> The deadline was subsequently extended to April 30, 2007.

**15. Report of Donations over \$250,000, November 1, 2006 – January 31, 2007**

The Report was received for information. No questions were raised.

The meeting adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

---

Secretary

---

Chair

April 24, 2007